Office of Health Equity  
Healthy Communities Data and Indicators Project

**Short Title:** Access to Parks.

**Full Title:** Percent of residents within ½ mile of a park, beach, open space, or coastline.

1. **Healthy Community Framework:**
   Environmental Quality.

2. **What is our aspirational goal?**
   Green and open spaces, including agricultural lands.

3. **Key factors as they relate to health and mental health disparities and inequities (California Health and Safety Code Section 131019.5):**
   Accessible built environments that promote health and safety.

4. **Why is this important to health?**
   a. **Description of significance and health connection.**
      
      As communities become increasingly more urban, parks and the protection of green and open spaces within cities increase in importance. Parks and natural areas buffer pollutants and contribute to the quality of life by providing communities with social and psychological benefits such as leisure, play, sports, and contact with nature. Parks are critical to human health by providing spaces for health and wellness activities. Of these, physical activity helps prevent and manage many chronic conditions, such as diabetes, obesity, arthritis, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, some cancers, cognitive function, and depressive disorders. Access to physical activity resources is particularly important for rural residents, who are at highest risk of poor health compared with their suburban and urban counterparts.

   b. **Summary of evidence.**
      
      An extensive body of research indicates that built environment factors correlate with better health. A recent systematic review of 204 articles showed that built environment factors, including levels of open space, were associated with increased levels of physical activity and walking. Further, an extensive body of research indicates that the presence of parks is correlated with physical activity. A recent systematic review of 20 studies examining the influence of the built environment
and physical activity showed positive associations between health and environments with pleasant aesthetics, trails, safety/crime, parks, and walkable destinations. Another recent review of 50 studies reported that in general the presence of parks and recreation settings correlates with physical activity, specifically in the form of exercise or utilitarian functions, such as walking.

c. References:


5. What is the indicator?

a. Detailed Definition:

Percent of residents within ½ mile of a park greater than 1 acre, or a beach, open space, or coastline

b. Stratification:

Stratification: Race/Ethnicity (8 Census groups), Park Type (5 groups, City, County, Federal, State, Other)

c. Data Description.

i. Data source: California Protected Areas Database (CPAD 2016a), accessed May, 2017 from CALANDS website at http://www.calands.org/. 2010 block-level population data by race and ethnicity from the U.S. Census Bureau (provided by California State Data Center at the California Department of Finance).

ii. Years available: 2010.

iii. Updated: 10-year intervals (Census data).
iv. **Geographies available:** census tracts, cities/towns, counties, regions, and state. The California Protected Areas Database (updated 2016) was obtained as a shape file from the CALANDS website. The database includes open space lands including parks, as well as open space lands with other uses, including: recreation, forestry, historical/cultural, habitat conservation, water supply, scenic areas, flood control, agricultural/ranching, and general open space. Parks greater than 1 acre with ‘Open Access’ designation were selected for analysis. Half mile buffers were created around parks. Census blocks with centroids inside the parks buffer area were selected. 2010 block-level Census redistricting data (100% count by race/ethnicity) were merged with blocks inside the parks buffer area. This process was conducted for all parks and by park type. Block data were aggregated by census tract, city/town, county, region, and state. The percent of residents’ access to parks were calculated for each geographic level and for race/ethnicity strata. Regions were based on counties of metropolitan transportation organizations (MPO) regions as reported in the 2010 California Regional Progress Report (http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/orip/Collaborative%20Planning/Files/CARegionalProgress_2-1-2011.pdf). Standard errors, relative standard errors, and 95% upper and lower confidence intervals were calculated.

6. **Limitations.**

The California Protected Areas Database does not include tribal lands, lands used for active military purposes, and properties protected through easements. The indicator takes into account the travel distance to park borders, but does not take into account points of entry. The indicator does not take into account the quality of park facilities, level of maintenance, specific amenities and services offered, or safety issues. While the indicator only measures “walkable” distance, transportation to parks through private or public transit was not considered. Census blocks are designated as inside or outside of park buffers based on block centroids, which can result in some misclassification of population within buffer areas. The indicator does not include “mini parks” or “pocket parks”, sometimes defined as less than 1 acre. The indicator only includes beach and coastline areas that are part CPAD, and known to be accessible to the public.

7. **Projects using this indicator.**